Oleanolic acid, a pentacyclic triterpene attenuates capsaicin-induced nociception in mice: Possible mechanisms
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Abstract

The anti-inflammatory pentacyclic triterpene, oleanolic acid (OA) was examined on acute nociception induced by intraplantar injection of capsaicin in mice. OA administered orally to mice at 10, 30 and 100 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, significantly attenuated the paw-licking response to capsaicin (1.6 g/paw) by 53%, 68.5% and 36.6%, respectively. Ruthenium red (3 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, s.c.), a non-competitive vanilloid receptor (V1, TRPV1)-antagonist also suppressed the capsaicin nociception by 38.6%. The maximal antinociception produced by 30 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} OA was significantly blocked in animals pre-treated with naloxone (2 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, i.p.), the opioid antagonist; L-arginine (600 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, i.p.), the substrate for nitric oxide synthase; or glibenclamide (2 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, i.p.), the K\textsubscript{ATP}-channel blocker, but was unaffected by yohimbine (2 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1}, i.p.), an \alpha\textsubscript{2}-adrenoceptor antagonist. In open-field and rota-rod tests that detect motor deficits, mice received 30 mg kg\textsuperscript{-1} OA did not manifest any effect per se, indicating that the observed antinociception is not a consequence of motor abnormality. These data suggest that OA inhibits capsaicin-evoked acute nociception due to mechanisms possibly involving endogenous opioids, nitric oxide, and K\textsubscript{ATP}-channels.
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1. Introduction

The capsaicin receptor TRPV1 (transient receptor potential vanilloid 1) is a Ca\textsuperscript{2+} permeable non-specific cation channel that mediates chemical and thermal pain. TRPV1 receptors are expressed primarily in small sensory neurons type C and A\textsubscript{δ} fibers and to a less extent in other tissues and initiate a complex cascade of events, including neuronal excitation and release of pro-inflammatory mediators, desensitization of receptor, and neuronal toxicity [1–3]. These receptors are activated by vanilloids (capsaicin, resiniferatoxin, piperine, etc.) and non-vanilloid endogenous ligands (protons, anandamide, Bradykinin and lipooxygenase products) as well [4,5]. The functional role of TRPV1 in acute and chronic nociception has been established by the use of TRPV1 antagonists, and TRPV1 knockout mice [6,2,7]. Currently, TRPV1 agonists and antagonists are both being developed for the treatment of pain. The desensitization induced after TRPV1 agonists points to the utility of these agents in the suppression of neuropathic pain, whereas, TRPV1 antagonists as valuable agents for the treatment of inflammatory, postoperative and arthritic pain [8,9]. Some of these antagonists like ruthenium red and capsazepine may further serve as molecular tools in drug investigations. However, the available compounds show only moderate potency, limited selectivity, poor pharmacokinetics and species differences [7]. Therefore, the search for more potent and ideal TRPV1 antagonists effective for the treatment of painful neuropathy continues.

A number of natural sources have been examined for the development of drugs acting as agonists or antagonists at vanilloid receptors, which include compounds like polygodial, a full vanilloid agonist derived from marsh pepper; warburganal from the bark of warburgia trees; isovelleral with terpenoid structure isolated from fungi; scalaradial, an unsaturated dialdehyde isolated from sponges; and scutigeral, isolated from edible mushrooms [10]. Oleanolic acid (OA) is a pentacyclic triterpene
that pertains to oleanane series (Fig. 1) naturally found in various medicinal herbs traditionally used for anti-inflammatory, analgesic, hepatoprotective and cardiotoxic effects [11]. Plant extracts rich in OA have been shown to exhibit antinociceptive property in hotplate, tail-flick and writhing models of nociception [12] and a beneficial effect on pain in arthritic subjects [13]. Previous investigations on OA established the gastroprotective, hepatoprotective, antitumor and anti-inflammatory effects [14–16]. In a recent study, we have shown that the oleanolic acid suppresses visceral nociceptive pain induced by intracolonic mustard oil in mice in a naloxone-sensitive manner [17] and the present experiments were designed to extend these studies to verify a possible suppressive effect of OA on capsaicin-induced acute hind paw nociception and the possible mechanisms, in mice.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Male albino Swiss mice (20–25 g) obtained from the Central Animal House of the Federal University of Ceará were utilized. They were maintained in polypropylene cages at a room temperature of 23 ± 2°C and humidity of 55 ± 10% on a regulated 12 h light/dark cycle. They had free access to standard laboratory chow (Purina, Brazil) and drinking water. When the compound was given orally, the mice were fasted overnight with free access to drinking water. Mice were habituated to the ambient at least 2 h before the behavioral testing. All experiments were performed in accordance with the ethical guidelines of the International Association for the study of pain [18] and were approved by the Federal University of Ceará Ethics Committee on Animal Research. All efforts were made to minimize the number of animals used and their suffering.

2.2. Plant material and isolation of oleanolic acid

The aerial parts (2.4 kg) of *Eriope blanchetii* (Benth.) Harley (Lamiaceae) was collected from the Metropolitan Park area of Abaeté (Salvador, BA) after its identification and the voucher specimen (no. 045599) was retained in Alexandre Leal Costa Herbarium, Institute of Biology, Federal University of Bahia. Oleanolic acid was extracted and isolated from the dried plant material as per procedures described earlier [19]. For experiments, oleanolic acid was suspended in aqueous Tween 80 solution (2%) and control groups were treated with this vehicle in a similar volume as for test groups.

2.3. Chemicals and drugs

The following chemicals and drugs were used: capsaicin (8-methyl-N-vanillyl-6-nonalide, Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA); naloxone (Dupont, Garden City, USA); morphine hydrochloride (Cristalia, São Paulo, Brazil); l-arginine, diazoxide, glibenclamide, clonidine, yohimbine, and ruthenium red (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA). All other chemicals were used of analytical grade. Capsaicin was dissolved in a vehicle comprising of ethanol, Tween 80 and normal saline (1:1:8). All other drugs were dissolved in normal saline.

2.4. Capsaicin-induced acute hind paw nociception in mice

Capsaicin (1.6 μg in 20 μl) or vehicle was injected intraplantar using a microsyringe with 26-gauge needle, and personnel unaware of the treatments recorded visually the amount of time the animals spent licking the injected paw for a period of 5 min [20]. Each animal was used only once for experimentation. The test doses of OA (10, 30, and 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o.), ruthenium red (3 mg kg\(^{-1}\), s.c.) or the vehicle used for dissolving OA (10 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o.) were administered 60 min before capsaicin. To verify the possible participation of endogenous opioids, \(\alpha_2\)-adrenoceptors, nitric oxide (NO) or K\(_{ATP}\)-channel-opening in the antinoceptive effect of OA, naloxone (2 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.), l-NAME (20 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.), yohimbine (2 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.), or glibenclamide (2 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.) pre-treated groups of animals were included, in which case the antagonists were administered 15 min before the OA. Morphine (5 mg kg\(^{-1}\), s.c.), clonidine (0.1 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.), l-arginine (600 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.), diazoxide (2 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.)-alone or their combinations treated groups were also included. Sixty minutes after oleanolic acid or 30 min following morphine, clonidine or l-arginine administrations, capsaicin test was carried out as described earlier. Saline treated controls (normal) that did not receive capsaicin and vehicle-treated controls that received capsaicin were also included. The dose selections for capsaicin (1.6 μg), the agonist and antagonist drugs were based on our pilot experimentation and from literature citations.

2.5. Locomotor activity (open-field test)

Mice were observed for locomotion in an open-field apparatus [21]. For the test, each animal was placed in the center of open-field arena, and persons who were unaware of treatments counted the locomotion frequency (the number of floor units the animal crossed with all the four paws) for a period of 4 min, following 60 min of oral administration of OA (30 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) or vehicle (2% Tween 80 in distilled water).

2.6. Motor coordination (rota-rod test)

The test was carried out according to the method described earlier [22]. The apparatus consisted of a horizontal bar
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Fig. 1. Chemical structure of oleanolic acid.
with a diameter of 5 cm, subdivided into four compartments (INSIGHT, RT-2002, Brazil). The mice were placed on the bar rotating at a speed of 4 rpm and mice that were able to remain on the rod longer than 120 s were selected 24 h before the test. Mice (n = 6 per group) were orally treated with the vehicle or the OA (30 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) and 60 min later, each animal was tested on the rota-rod for its permanency(s) during a 2 min period.

2.7. Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as means ± S.E.M. Statistical analysis of the results were evaluated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by the Dunnett’s test for multiple comparisons. \(p\)-Values less than 0.05 (\(p < 0.05\)) were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Effect of OA on capsaicin-induced hind paw nociception

Intraplantar injection of capsaicin (1.6 μg, 20 μl) evoked nociceptive paw licking behavior in mice that appeared maximal during the first 5 min. Vehicle (20 μl saline) injection induced only a minimal paw licking (1.00 ± 0.38 s). Unlike capsaicin, OA failed to induce per se nociception following subplantar injection into the mouse hind paw (data not shown). The effects of systemic pretreatment with OA and ruthenium red (non-competitive capsaicin antagonist) on capsaicin-evoked nociception in mice are shown in Fig. 2. When compared to vehicle-treated controls, a significant decrease in the duration of paw licking was observed in mice pretreated with OA (53%, 68.5% and 36.6%), respectively, for the doses of 10, 30 and 100 mg kg\(^{-1}\). Ruthenium red (3 mg kg\(^{-1}\)), the positive control used in the study also caused significant suppression of nociception (38.6%). Since the inhibitory effect of 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) OA was maximal (68.5% inhibition) on capsaicin-evoked nociception, subsequent experiments that attempted to elucidate the underlying mechanism were carried out at this dose. Fig. 3 shows the possible mechanisms involved in OA antinociception. The effect of OA was significantly blocked in animals pretreated with naloxone (2 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.), the opioid antagonist (Fig. 3A); l-arginine (600 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.), the substrate for nitric oxide synthase (Fig. 3B); or glibenclamide (2 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.), the \(K\)\(^{\text{ATP}}\)-channel blocker (Fig. 3C), in a manner similar to respective positive controls: morphine (an opioid agonist), l-NAME (the nitric oxide synthase inhibitor) and diazoxide (an opener of \(K\)\(^{\text{ATP}}\)-channels). l-NAME-alone significantly suppressed the capsaicin-evoked paw licking response while its combination with OA showed an augmented response (Fig. 3B). The \(\alpha_2\)-adrenocceptor antagonist, yohimbine (2 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.) caused no significant blockade of antinociception produced by OA, but it, however, blocked the antinociception produced by clonidine (0.1 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.), an \(\alpha_2\)-adrenocceptor agonist (Fig. 3D).

3.2. Effects of OA in open-field and rota-rod tests

OA administered orally at the dose of 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\) failed to produce any significant effect on locomotion frequency (control, 47.00 ± 4.53; OA, 44.67 ± 2.11) or on motor coordination (permanency time on rota-rod: control, 120 s; OA, 120 s) in mice.

4. Discussion

In the present study, we have provided first evidence to show that OA administered orally inhibits capsaicin-evoked acute nociception in mice. Intraplantar capsaicin in mice is a widely accepted experimental model of nociceptive pain in which, the amount of time the animals spent licking the injected paw is taken as criterion while evaluating the antinociceptive effect of test substances [23,24]. In this model, OA produced a dose-related antinociception at doses of 10 and 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\), whereas, at a higher dose (100 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) the response was much smaller, although attained statistical significance.

The antinociceptive effect of OA appears to involve endogenous opioids as it was blocked in mice pretreated with naloxone, a non-selective opioid antagonist. This supports the notion that compounds like OA might be useful as pain relievers. Past studies implicate the endogenous opioids as it was blocked in mice pretreated with naloxone, TRPV1 receptor activation and cause accumulation of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), which is necessary for capsaicin-evoked transmitter release and neurogenic inflammation [6,26]. \(K\)\(^{\text{ATP}}\) channel openers such as diazoxide and morphine induce cell hyperpolarization, decrease the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) level and neurotransmitter release (calcitonin gene related peptide and substance P), that may account for antinociception [27,25]. To verify such a possibility, we examined the effect of glibenclamide, a blocker of \(K\)\(^{\text{ATP}}\) chan-
Fig. 3. Possible mechanisms involved in the antinociceptive effect of oleanolic acid on capsaicin-induced paw licking response in mice. (A) Involvement of opioid mechanism: naloxone (Nalox, 2 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.) was administered 15 min before the oleanolic acid (OA, 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o.) or morphine (Morph, 5 mg kg\(^{-1}\), s.c.). Each column represents mean ± S.E.M. of 8 animals per group. \(^a\)p < 0.001 vs. vehicle; \(^b\)p < 0.001 vs. OA; \(^c\)p < 0.001 vs. Morph. (B) Involvement of nitric oxide: l-arginine (L-Arg, 600 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.) or l-NAME (20 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.)-alone or its combination with oleonolic acid (OA, 30 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o.) were administered 15 min before OA. Each column represents mean ± S.E.M. of eight animals per group. \(^p\) < 0.001 vs. vehicle; \(^b\)p < 0.001 vs. l-NAME; \(^c\)p < 0.05 vs. OA. (C) Involvement of ATP-activated potassium channels: animal groups were treated with diazoxide (diaz, 2 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.) or glibenclamide (gliben, 2 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.)-alone or its combination with or without OA (30 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o.). When combined, glibenclamide was administered 15 min before diazoxide or OA. Each column represents mean ± S.E.M. of eight animals per group. \(^p\) < 0.001 vs. vehicle; \(^b\)p < 0.05 vs. OA; \(^c\)p < 0.01 vs. diaz. (D) Role of \(\alpha_2\)-adrenoceptors: animal groups were treated with clonidine (clon, 0.1 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.) or yohimbine (yoh, 2 mg kg\(^{-1}\), i.p.)-alone or its combination with or without OA (30 mg kg\(^{-1}\), p.o.). When combined, yohimbine was administered 15 min before clonidine or OA. Each column represents mean ± S.E.M. of eight animals per group. \(^a\)p < 0.001 vs. vehicle; \(^b\)p < 0.001 vs. clon.

On OA antinociception. Interestingly, the data obtained show that the antinociception produced by OA is not only naloxone-sensitive but also sensitive to glibenclamide, suggesting the involvement of K\(^+\) channels. Earlier studies [28–30] point out that nitric oxide/cGMP activation and ATP-sensitive K\(^+\) channel opening play a role in antinociception induced by morphine. In our study, the antinociception induced by OA is inhibited by opioid antagonist naloxone, by \(\alpha_2\)-arginine, the substrate for nitric oxide synthase as well as by ATP-sensitive K\(^+\) channel blocker glibenclamide, suggesting a possible role of endogenous opioids and the involvement of nitric oxide/cGMP-ATP-sensitive K\(^+\) channel pathway in its antinociceptive mechanism.

We also examined the possible involvement of \(\alpha_2\)-adrenoceptors in the antinociceptive effect of OA, using clonidine (agonist) or yohimbine (antagonist) pretreated mice. The results obtained indicate that both clonidine and oleonolic acid can suppress capsaicin-evoked nociception. However, yohimbine antagonized only the antinociceptive effect of clonidine but not that of OA, suggesting that \(\alpha_2\)-adrenoceptors play no significant role. The results of the present study further suggest the involvement of l-arginine-nitric oxide (NO) pathway in the antinociception caused by OA. Pretreatment of mice with the substrate of nitric oxide synthase, l-arginine, at a dose that produced no significant effect on intraplantar capsaicin-evoked pain, significantly reversed the antinociception produced by both OA and l-NAME, a known nitric oxide inhibitor. It is interesting to note from the present data that l-NAME alone attenuated the capsaicin nociception indicating the active role of NO in capsaicin nociception. Its combination with OA, however, showed apparently a potentiated/additive response (Fig. 3B), which needs further analysis through an isobolographic study.

OA is a natural triterpenoid ubiquitous in plant kingdom and is a component of many medicinal herbs. Although relatively safe and non-toxic [11], the selection of the appropriate dose is critical. At low-doses, OA offers gastroprotection, hepatoprotection and functions as chemopreventive, while in high-dose could produce cholestasis and hepatotoxicity [16,11]. In the present study OA demonstrated potential antinociceptive effect in fairly low-doses and at the dose that produced maximal inhibition of capsaicin-nociception (30 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) neither impaired locomotion nor the motor coordination of mice in the respective tests of open-field and rota-rod, which implies that OA is an ideal molecule for rational drug development to alleviate neuropathic or inflammatory pain. In conclusion, the present study provides the first evidence for the antinociceptive effect of OA in capsaicin model of nociception and this observation was complementary to our previous experiments that reveal its efficacy in suppressing visceral nociception induced by mustard oil. The data obtained further suggest that its antinociceptive action is at least, in part, related to endogenous opioid receptor stimulation, nitric oxide production and activation of ATP-gated K\(^+\) channels.
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