Intracolonial variation in *Siderastrea* de Blainville, 1830 (Anthozoa, Scleractinia): taxonomy under challenging morphological constraints
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**Abstract:** The morphology of sessile invertebrates, such as scleractinian corals, can be controlled by environmental and genetic mechanisms and, consequently, it is highly variable. Morphological variation has puzzled taxonomists by posing challenges to species classification within cryptic species complexes. The ‘Atlantic Siderastrea Complex’ is a suitable example. Because of overlapping diagnostic traits, the morphological interspecific limits of this group remain controversial and often resulted in doubtful synonyms. In addition, the recent identification of the Caribbean *S. radians* in the Southwestern Atlantic has revealed that intraspecific variation has been equivocally assessed. Traditionally, hierarchical categories of variation have been the criteria used to investigate the patterns of modular organisms as corals. However, despite its taxonomic and ecological implications, the category ‘intracolonial’ has been largely neglected. To evaluate the influences of intracolonial morphological variation in the identification of Atlantic siderastreids, colonies from Bahia State, northeastern Brazil, were collected and measured. Six characters were selected in *S. radians* and *S. stellata*, and the variation in these characters was analyzed with Discriminant Canonical Analysis. The columellar depth and diameter varied consistently within *S. stellata* and *S. radians*, but the septal number was the most important for differentiating the two species. The results of the study also represent the first report of *S. radians* on the northern coast of Bahia.
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**Resumo:** A morfologia de invertebrados sésseis, tais como corais escleractínios, é controlada por mecanismos genéticos e ambientais e, por conseguinte, é muito variável. Entretanto, variação morfológica tem intrigado os taxonomistas principalmente por desafiar a identificação de complexos de espécies cripticas. O “Complexo Siderastrea do Atlântico” é um exemplo desta problemática. Por conta da sobreposição dos traços diagnósticos, os limites morfológicos interspecíficos deste grupo permanecem controversos e muitas vezes resultaram em sinonímias de interpretação duvidosa. Além disso, a recente identificação do *S. radians* para o Atlântico Sul revelou que a variação intra-específica tem sido avaliada equivocadamente. Tradicionalmente, categorias hierárquicas de variação são os critérios mais utilizados para investigar os padrões de organismos modulares como corais. No entanto, apesar de sua importância taxonômica e ecológica, a categoria “intracolonial” tem sido amplamente negligenciada. No sentido de elucidar a influência de variação morfológica intracolonial na identificação do gênero Siderastrea, colônias do Estado da Bahia, nordeste do Brasil, foram coletadas e medidas. Seis características foram selecionadas em *S. radians* e *S. stellata*, e a variação destas características foi analisada através da Análise Discriminante Canônica. A profundidade e diâmetro columelar variaram de forma consistente dentro de *S. stellata* e *S. radians*, mas o número de septos foi o mais importante para diferenciar as duas espécies. Por fim, o estudo também provou o primeiro relato de *S. radians* no litoral norte da Bahia.

**Palavras-chave:** sistemática, Siderastrea radians, variação morfológica, expansão biogeográfica, Atlântico Sul.
Introduction

The morphology of sessile invertebrates, such as scleractinian corals, can be highly variable because it is controlled by both environmental and genetic mechanisms (Best & Winston 1984, Veron 1995, Lombardi et al. 2008). In the case of the zooxanthellate reef building corals, intraspecific variation may be regulated by the processes of adaptation to habitat heterogeneity, interpopulation genetic connectivity (or long-term isolation), and/or local selection (Gattuso et al. 1991). Because of this, some widely distributed coral genera are represented by ‘species complexes’ with demes consisting of miscellaneous variable morphs and undistinguishable cryptic forms that limits the traditional taxonomic methods of morphological analyses (sensu Weil & Knowlton 1994). Considering the modular characteristics in the development of scleractinian corals (Hageman 2003), taxonomists have suggested the analysis of intracolonal, intrapopulations, and interpopulations of variation to support identifications (Best et al. 1983, Miller 1994, Veron 1995). Intracolonal variability, in specific, apparently occurs on a ‘microecological’ scale (or in a microenvironment) and might reach extreme levels in cases where distinct parts of the same colony have corallites with the characteristics of different genera (Best et al. 1983, Veron 1995). However, despite the overall implications for population approaches, only a few studies have concisely considered the variation within coral colonies (Land et al. 1975, Foster 1985).


In Brazil, analyses based on numerical methods have been conducted for the first time by Amaral (1994) to assess morphological population variability in *Montastrea cavernosa*. Data from the genus *Siderastrea* was initially provided by Werner (1996) and Santos et al. (2004). The former study is biased by the endemism of the Brazilian coral fauna, whereas the latter is biased by the similarities among Atlantic siderastreids. According to Werner (1996), for instance, *S. stellata* should be regarded as a synonym of *S. radians*. However, through genetic and biological analyses, Neves & Da Silveira (2003), Neves (2004) and Neves et al. (2008) have refuted all synonymies, revealing the sympatric occurrence of *S. radians* and *S. stellata* populations in Brazil. Thus, the traditional view of the ‘excess of morphological variation’ for Southwestern Atlantic *Siderastrea* has possibly been attributed to the co-occurrence of both congeners and not exclusively *S. stellata*. In addition, none of the studies op. cit. considers the intracolonal variation of each species. As emphasized by Foster (1980), who estimated high intracolonal variation for *S. siderea* in Caribbean reefs, within-colony variation may be used to predict the magnitude of within-population and within-species variation and should be considered in morphological studies.

In the present study, numerical methods are utilized to analyze coralite characters and evaluate the magnitude of variation within and among colonies of *S. stellata* and *S. radians* from Brazilian reef systems and coral communities. The results of the study are expected to provide further refinements in the delimitation of the Atlantic *Siderastrea* and contribute to the current knowledge of variability among scleractinians and the patterns that underlie the maintenance of the species boundaries.

Materials and Methods

1. Study area

Sampling was performed in two distinct geographical areas of Bahia State (northeastern Brazil): the coastal bay ‘Baía de Todos-os-Santos’ (BTS) and the north shore (NS) (Figure 1). Situated between 12° and 13° latitude south of the Equator, with an area of approximately 1,200 km² and containing 91 islands, the BTS is the second-largest bay in Brazil (Cirano & Lessa 2007). Despite its ecological importance and poorly known biodiversity, the bay has been critically impacted by increasing eutrophication, chemical and solid pollution, land runoff, sedimentation, and overexploitation of the natural resources (Celino & Queiroz 2006, Amado-Filho et al. 2008, Felizzola et al. 2008). Along the north coast (NS) of Bahia State, discontinuous coral reefs extend for approximately 20 km in very shallow waters. Superficial coral-algal reefs less than 1 m high are found above a line of beach rock, whereas drowned reefs occur in deeper environments at depths of 20 to 70 m (Kikuchi 2000). These systems, like the BTS, have been extensively exploited and show evidence of degradation (Costa et al. 2000).

2. Sampling design

Over a succession of periods from 2006 through 2008, a total of nine localities were selected for study: five around the BTS and four along the NS (Figure 1). The total number of species in each locality (n), the coordinates (lat/long), and additional information on the samples collected are shown in Table 1. To avoid errors in taxonomy, no identification of *S. stellata* and *S. radians* was performed in the field. Therefore, a balanced number of samples (i.e., colonies) at each sampling site was difficult to achieve, especially in view of the sympatric distribution of the congeners. Colonies ranging from 3 to 10 cm in diameter were haphazardly collected at a minimum distance of 2 m. This protocol was adopted in view of the philopatric pattern typically found among brooding corals. As previously described, *S. stellata* and *S. radians* exhibit a gregarious pattern during larval recruitment, with newly-settled larvae regularly undergoing fusion (see Duerden 1902, Neves & Da Silveira 2003). Colonies with evidence of disease or physiological senescence (e.g., irregular pigmentation, blemishes, or whitening) were not collected. Samples were removed with a hammer and chisel from depths of 0 to 30 cm during low tide or by SCUBA diving in deeper tide pools (1 to 3 m). In the laboratory, the colonies were bleached overnight in a solution of 2% sodium hypochlorite for analyses of the skeletal structures. A preliminary taxonomic identification of *Siderastrea* was performed on the basis of the number and conspicuousness of the septal cycles in accordance with Neves (2004). The specific status of 156 specimens/colonies was determined. Morphometric data were collected with a stereomicroscope (NIKON, model SMZ1000) supplied with a calibrated eyepiece and a digital camera (NIKON, model COOLPIX 995). Coralium diameters were measured with a...
MITUTOYO digital pachymeter. Specimens were catalogued and deposited in the Cnidaria Collection at the ‘Museu de Zoologia da Universidade Federal da Bahia’ (acronym UFBA) as follows: BTS: Maria Guarda I. — UFBA 584, Paramana I. — UFBA 575, 576, 577, Boa Viagem — UFBA 578, 579, 594, 595, Iate Clube — UFBA 580, 581, 582, Porto da Barra — UFBA 583; NS: Genipabú—Abaí — UFBA 518, 519, 521, 522, 524, 529-532, 541, Itacimirim — UFBA 518, 519, 521, 522, 524, 529-532, 541, Itacimirim — UFBA 587, 632; Guarajuba — UFBA 536, 539, 562, Praia do Forte — UFBA 508, 610, 612, 619, 632. Multiple colonies of the same population were deposited in separate lots.

3. Measurements

Only mature corallites (‘old polyps’ sensu Soong & Lang 1992) with at least the third cycle of the septa fully formed were examined. For each colony, three distinct vertical zones were determined (top, middle and edge). From each of these zones, five corallites were selected for morphometric analyses. Because the morphology of the colonies varied from spherical to plate-encrusting forms, the following definitions were used to specify the vertical zones. The top zone was defined as the upper surface of the colony distal from...
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The edge was defined as the marginal area in contact with the substratum, including three and/or four corallite upper lines (the first of which was frequently buried in the sand). The middle was defined as the large area between the inner limits of the two previous zones. The diagnostic morphological variables were chosen according to the available literature (Milne Edwards & Haime 1857, Verrill 1868, Vaughan 1919, Vaughan & Wells 1943, Laborel 1967, 1969/70, Budd & Gúzman 1994, Neves 2004, Foster 1977, 1979, 1980, 1985, Budd 1990). Six quantitative parameters were selected: corallite diameter (based on the mean of two greater diameters - corD), columellar diameter (based on the mean of two greater diameters - colD), septal number (sepN), thecal thickness – this measure includes the external wall and all the synapticulae (tecThick), columellar depth (depth), and the average distance between adjacent sampled calices (corDist) (Figures 2 and 3). Septal number is a count and not a measure variable as the other characteristics. However, this data presented assumptions postulated by the Central Limit Theorem, which include a large number of independent random variables with finite mean and variance, not Bimodal and Poisson distribution and absence of zeros (Underwood 1997). Because of these criteria, we consider the septal number a continuous characteristic.

4. Statistical analyses

The statistical analyses were carried out only for the sites at which both species occurred (Paramana, Maria Guarda, Genipabu, Guarajuba and Itacimirim). One Discriminant Canonical Analyses was performed to evaluate the influence of intracolonial morphology and also the interspecific boundaries between *S. stellata* and *S. radians*. A total of six a priori groups were selected for analyses: top, middle and edge of *S. stellata* and top, middle and edge of *S. radians*. The

---

**Figure 2.** Mature corallites: (a) *S. stellata* and (b) *S. radians* (scale bar = 1 mm). Adapted from Neves (2004). Measurements in the picture: (A+A’/2) corallite diameter; (B+B’/2) columellar diameter; (C) septal number; (D) theca thickness; (E) distance among corallites (this distance was measured as the mean distance to the theca of all neighbor corallites).

**Figure 3.** Measurements made on individual corallites: a) Cross-sectional view: (1) corallite diameter; (2) columellar diameter; (3) distance among corallites; (4) septal number. b) Longitudinal view: (5) theca thickness; (6) columellar depth. Adapted from Neves (2004).
analysis was based in the average corallites of each region because of the difficulty to observe the great number of data on graph. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test showed normal distribution for data. The Levene’s test showed homoscedastic data. The Mann-Whitney U test with septal numbers was proceeded to confirm differences between both species once this trait presented a count characteristic. The p-level adopted in all tests was α = 0.05. Finally, a descriptive analysis was carried out with mean and standard deviation of measures from all corallites. The programs used were Statistica 7.0 (StatSoft®) and Excel 2007 (Microsoft©).

Results

1. Taxonomic data

The analyses of the diagnostic characters associated with the number and conspicuity of the septal cycles of corallites (Neves 2004) resulted in the identification of 132 specimens of *S. stellata* and 24 specimens of *S. radians* (a total of 1200 and 120 corallites per species, respectively). Colonies of *S. radians* co-occurred with the endemic *S. stellata* in the following five localities: Paramana reefs (Frades I.) and Maria Guarda I., both in the BTS, and Guarajuba, Itacimirim and Praia do Forte reefs in the NS. *Siderastrea radians* have not been previously reported from the NS, although it has been previously cited from Frades I. (BTS) and the southern littoral (Neves 2004). In the other four populations localities sampled, only *S. stellata* was present and is clearly the more frequent and abundant congener of the pair (Table 1).

2. Intracolonial variation

The Discriminant Canonical Analyses showed significant differences among the groups (Wilks’ Lambda = 0.55; F (30,1014) = 5.46; p < 0.0001). The characteristics that show differences among species were columellar diamenter (Wilks’ Lambda = 0.6; F (5,253) = 4.78; p < 0.0001), septal number (Wilks’ Lambda = 0.64; F (5,253) = 8.51; p < 0.0001) and columellar depth (Wilks’ Lambda = 0.59; F (5,253) = 3.72; p < 0.0001). The CV1 axis explained 74% of the variation found and was primarily related to the characteristic septal number (R = 0.75). The CV2 axis explained 23% of the variation and was primarily linked to the columellar diameter (R = 0.53) and columellar depth (R = 0.80) (Figure 4, Table 2). The

![Figure 4](http://www.biotaneotropica.org.br/v13n1/en/abstract?article+bn02513012013)
additional 3 CVs axis were not significant. The scatterplot (Figure 4) shows the data on *S. stellata* concentrated on the right side of the plot, corresponding to a greater number of septa, whereas the data on *S. radians* showed an opposite tendency. The Mann-Whitney U test based on septal numbers also showed a significant difference between both species (U = 2201.5, p < 0.05). Thus, the results confirm that despite variation, septal number was the character that best distinguish the species. The other two important characteristics, related primarily to the second axis, were important for differentiating the regions for both species. The data for the edge region tended to be concentrated at the bottom of the plot and showed smaller values of the columnar diameter and depth in contrast to the other regions. This result can be confirmed with descriptive data form Table 4.

According to the squared Mahalanobis distance, intracolonial variation showed significant differences among the regions in both species (Table 3). For *S. stellata*, the top and middle were significantly different from the edge (Table 3). In general, the edge showed shallower corallites (Table 4; Figure 4). For *S. radians*, differences were found between the top and the edge. The edge corallites of this species were shallower than the top corallites (Table 4; Figure 4). Significant differences were found between species for each individual region (Table 3). Accordingly, similarities between regions for both species did not affect the differentiation between the species. Differences between species can also be observed in descriptive data that show greatest values for *S. stellata* (Table 4).

### Discussion

#### 1. Taxonomy

In contrast to the wide-ranging collections of *S. stellata* from Parcel Manuel Luiz in Maranhão state (Amaral et al. 2007) to Rio de Janeiro (Laborel 1969/70), intentional collections of *S. radians* have been conducted sparsely in geographically restricted areas in Brazil, such as Maragogi in Alagoas state, São José da Coroa Grande, and Porto de Galinhas in Pernambuco state, Picãozinho and Ponta do Serra in Paraíba state, Pirangi do Norte in Rio Grande do Norte state, and Nova Almeida in Espírito Santo state (Neves et al. 2008). Thus, this study is the first to include both species, and extends the geographical range of *S. radians* to the BTS and NS. The occurrence of *S. radians* in the Southeastern Atlantic reveals a wider geographical distribution of the Caribbean species. Through this finding, one may suppose that the sympatric distribution of the *Siderastrea* populations has contributed to the misinterpretation of the taxonomic, ecological and biological data on these populations. Observing the ‘excess’ in the variation of *S. stellata* colonies, Laborel (1967, 1969/70) offered an early suggestion about the occurrence of *S. radians* in Brazil, but he has not pursued this topic. Relatively recent genetic studies (Forsman 2003, Neves et al. 2008) confirmed the occurrence of *S. radians* in Brazil and supported Vaughan (1919) and Laborel (1967, 1969/70) by considering *S. stellata* to be closely-related, yet distinct from the Caribbean congeners.

Despite irrefutable evidence of the sympatric occurrence of *S. radians* and *S. stellata*, there is a resistance to the adoption of the taxonomic morphometric analyses of the corallites traits for *in situ* studies. Visual recognition is not advisable because it may bias the results due to overestimation of *S. stellata* (the ‘traditional’ and most widespread Brazilian species). Therefore, the use of ‘*Siderastrea* spp.’ (see Cruz et al. 2008, Lelio et al. 2008) has gained a degree of acceptance as a secure practice for reporting findings of the genus by those other than expert taxonomists without the inconvenience associated with the need to gather taxonomic data and the related analyses.

#### 2. Intracolonial variation

A significant aspect of this study is that it represents the first morphological analysis to consider the sympatric occurrence of *S. stellata* and *S. radians* in Brazil from the perspective of a statistical approach. The results based on Discriminant Canonical Analysis show significant intracolonial variation in *S. stellata* and *S. radians* and reveal that this variation is related to differences between the edge of the corallum and other regions for both species. In particular, columnar diameter and columnar depth was significantly smaller in the edge region. Werner (1996) and Santos et al. (2004) do not discuss this issue. Neves (2004) also reported in higher frequency large and shallow corallites of *S. stellata* in the edge region, but did not perform any statistical analyses. Perhaps, this zone is affected by micro environmental characteristics as it is located closer to the substrate and is under continuous stress due to burial by sediment and competitive contact with surrounding organisms. Indeed, it is widely known that the environment has an important influence on the coral phenotype (Brakel 1977, Foster 1980, Todd et al. 2001, Pisapia et al. 2012). However, because of the absence of experimental analyses in this study, a few inferences about the influence of abiotic aspects can be done.

Although intracolonial variation has been found, the comparison of individual regions between *S. stellata* and *S. radians* shows significant differences (Table 3). The results showed that septal number was the most robust trait that separated both species while the others characters where less important (Tables 2 and 4). In a thesis, Neves (2004) suggested that *S. radians* and *S. stellata*, identified by genetic characteristics, should be differentiated by septal cycles: the first, has a reduction of the fourth cycle of the septum (S4) and the second has consistently complete S4. But, until this work, none morphological variation study with a greater number of specimens were done to confirm this hypothesis. Thus, considering the conservative pattern exhibited by septal number, this work confirms that it should be used to differentiate the species.

Originally, Verrill (1868) also refers to a complete fourth cycle in the diagnosis of the Brazilian *Siderastrea*. However, this description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Top - <em>S. stellata</em></th>
<th>Middle - <em>S. stellata</em></th>
<th>Edge - <em>S. stellata</em></th>
<th>Top - <em>S. radians</em></th>
<th>Middle - <em>S. radians</em></th>
<th>Edge - <em>S. radians</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top - <em>S. stellata</em></td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle - <em>S. stellata</em></td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top - <em>S. radians</em></td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle - <em>S. radians</em></td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge - <em>S. radians</em></td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
may represent a questionable interpretation. In the comparison with S. radians in the diagnosis of the Brazilian Siderastrea, Verrill’s description refers to a complete fourth cycle. In fact, S. stellata has a complete fourth cycle (S4). Nevertheless, its colonies can also include some corallites with an incomplete fourth cycle. It is distinctly different from S. radians, in which a complete S4 can never occur. Thus, S. stellata and S. radians cannot be confused because of the presence of an incomplete S4 in both species but should be distinguished by the absence of a complete S4 in S. radians. In addition, these considerations also reflect an interesting proposition: the number of septa represents a conservative character, and these considerations also reflect an interesting proposition:

Table 4. Descriptive statistics: mean, standard deviation and range of morphological variables analyzed for S. stellata and S. radians. Results include all populations. Metric values in millimeters (mm). (x = mean; s.d. = standard deviation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traits</th>
<th>Region</th>
<th>S. stellata</th>
<th>S. radians</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x ± s.d.</td>
<td>x ± s.d.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CorD</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>3.42 ± 0.27</td>
<td>3.04 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>3.47 ± 0.46</td>
<td>3.14 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edge</td>
<td>3.45 ± 0.47</td>
<td>3.14 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3.44 ± 0.47</td>
<td>3.11 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ColD</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edge</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SepN</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>39 ± 5.96</td>
<td>30 ± 4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>40 ± 6.23</td>
<td>31 ± 4.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edge</td>
<td>40 ± 6.47</td>
<td>30 ± 4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>39 ± 6.35</td>
<td>30 ± 4.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TecThick</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edge</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.27</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>1.32 ± 0.27</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edge</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.28</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CorDist</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edge</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.21</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although intracolonial variation is not an obstacle to analysis in the studied siderastreids, criteria for this aspect of variation should be considered for the scleractinian determination of interspecific boundaries.
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